An Application to restructure the Town of Kensington pursuant to Sec. 15 of the Municipal Government Act, R.S.P.E.I 1988, cap M-12.1

/sp

Public Hearing Credit Union Place October 15, 2020

/sp

1	MS. MITCHELL: Good evening, folks.
2	We're going to get started here shortly. There are
3	a few individuals who have registered with us that
4	aren't yet here. So I think we'll wait maybe five
5	minutes and then we'll begin. So if you could just
6	bear with us a few more minute, thanks.
7	Okay, I think we'll get started. So
8	welcome, everyone. This hearing is now in session.
9	My name is Erin Mitchell. I'm a commissioner with
10	the Island Regulatory and Appeals Commission. I am
11	the chair of the panel here this evening.
12	I'm joined by Doug Clow, who is the vice-
13	chair of the commission, and we're also assisted
14	here this evening by staff members Jonah Clements,
15	who is our general counsel, as well as Courtney
16	Bryenton, one of our regulatory analysts. I have
17	lost where Courtney is. Maybe she is still outside.
18	Oh, she is still outside. She is the lovely person
19	who helped you register when you came in.
20	So this hearing is pursuant to a
21	restructuring proposal received from the Town of
22	Kensington to annex certain unincorporated areas
23	surrounding its existing Town boundary.
24	I'm just going to provide you with a bit
25	of background in terms of how this process has

developed to date. On the 24th of January in 2020 a proposal to restructure the Town of Kensington was received by the commission. The applicants propose to annex 106 properties surrounding the Town.

On March the 6th, 2020 the commission published a public notice of that proposal, and this included physically posting copies of the notice in and around the Town, publishing notice in the Guardian newspaper as well as online.

Individuals and other municipalities had 30 days to file written objections or comments with the commission, and that objection period closed on April 7th.

The commission did receive some objections from residents in the area, and having reviewed those objections and the submissions that were received, we determined that it was in the public interest to hold a public hearing, and of course, we scheduled this hearing here this evening.

Now, normally I would note that we try to hold these public hearings in the municipality that's impacted. In this instance, obviously we're not in the Town of Kensington. We elected to come to Summerside and to Credit Union Place because of the social distancing requirements. We weren't sure

how many people were going to wish to attend, and we
wanted to make sure that we were able to do this in
accordance with the guidance coming from the chief
public health office. So I want to thank you all
for your attendance here today, I want to thank the
Credit Union Place as well for accommodating us.

On the 2nd of October we published the public notice of this hearing online and in and around the Town. The notice was also published in the Guardian newspaper on October 3rd and October 10th. Members of the public were asked to register. So I want to thank you again, all, for having done that. It appears that many of you who have registered are here this evening.

In ordering this public hearing, we determined that it was necessary and beneficial for the Town to explain the proposal to the public to give an opportunity to the public to ask questions of the Town and to provide comments to the commission.

Now, we are governed by legislation, and so we are bound by the provisions of the Municipal Government Act, and in that the Act states that:

"...Any person who is in the opinion of the commission an affected person may appear

1	and be heard at a public hearing"
2	So we will consider all of the evidence and the
3	submissions that are filed here this evening when we
4	prepare our report to the minister as is required
5	under the Act.
6	So what the process is, essentially we
7	have this hearing here this evening. There will be
8	an opportunity for about a week after today's
9	hearing for both you and other individuals who may
10	be interested in providing feedback to the
11	commission to provide that to us. We take that into
12	account, and then within 45 days we prepare a
13	recommendation to the minister having considered all
14	of that feedback.
15	So in terms of the process that we will
16	follow here this evening, the Town will be making a
17	presentation to explain the proposal. They have
18	prepared a PowerPoint presentation and will be
19	speaking to the restructuring application shortly.
20	That PowerPoint presentation was posted or
21	the IRAC web page, on the municipal government web
22	page and it remains there. So if after this evening
23	anyone wishes to go and review that PowerPoint
24	presentation, you're certainly able to do so.

When the Town has completed its

25

presentation...you'll notice that we have a couple of mics set up in the audience. There will be an opportunity for you to ask questions of the Town pertaining to its proposal, and then when the questions are complete, that's when we will have...I'll call it an open mic. That's when you'll be invited essentially to come, and if you have statements that you wish to make with respect to the proposal, that will be your opportunity to do so.

We have a good turnout here this evening, and so I would ask you to keep your comments as succinct as possible, just so that everyone can have an opportunity to say what they may wish to say with respect to the proposal.

The hearing is being recorded you will note. So all of the comments that are made this evening, we ask that you do make them at the microphones so that they are recorded for the purposes of the record.

I would also note that this hearing is being broadcast live on YouTube. I'm trying not to think about that too much, but it is for the purposes of ensuring...again, we are in this sort of new odd era of physical distancing and the like, and so in an effort to make sure that there is as much

ability to reach individuals with the information here this evening, we are providing that broadcast.

After everything is done, the audio and the video of the public hearing will be put on our website, is my understanding. I'm looking at Jonah. Yes. So it will be put on our website. So again, if there is a desire to review or to look at the presentations that were made here this evening, you'll have an opportunity to do that.

I mentioned that there is a window of a week. That's pursuant to the legislation for individuals to write further submissions, or if there is anything that you would wish to provide to the commission not here this evening or other members of the public who may be watching from home, there is that opportunity for others to provide that commentary.

These comments can be e-mailed to the commission at...it's an e-mail address that we can provide after the fact as well, but it's proposal@irac.pe.ca, attention to Jonah Clements. So if anyone wants to get those particulars afterwards, please approach one of us from the commission and we will ensure that you have that information.

As I indicated, the role and the power an	ıd
the function of the commission is set out in the	
Municipal Government Act. So anything that we do	
here this evening is pursuant to that legislation.	

So without further ado, I am going to turn to the Town, and invite them to begin their presentation, and then I would ask you to hold your questions until the end, and then there will be an opportunity. So I'm going to turn it over.

MS. PARNHAM: Good evening, everyone.

I'll get comfortable for the camera. My name is

Hope Parnham. I'm a planner and landscape

architect. I work under...my company's name is Dv8

Consulting.

Before I get started tonight, I just wanted to declare any perceived conflict of interest. I prepared this report on behalf of the Town of Kensington in 2019, and earlier this year, in 2020, I have taken a position with the provincial government in a different department than what is considering this application. I'm in the Department of Environment, Water and Climate Change on a contract, but this report has been known and the Province in my current position is aware that I am here representing the Town on this work done prior

1	+ o	that	work.
⊥		LIIaL	WOTK.

So as I mentioned, my name is Hope. My pronouns are she/her/hers, and again, before I start tonight, I wanted to recognize that we're here in the city of Summerside, but on the traditional and unceded territory of the Mi'kmaq First Nations.

Tonight's application is on the restructuring of the boundary of the Town of Kensington. This work started much earlier than the actual project that we're looking at tonight in that the Town recognized that it needed to undertake this study in their official plan and the official plan bylaw review started in January, 2019.

We started that process and put that project on hold in order to undertake this current study. So I have been working with the Town for a number of years on planning-related matters, and all of that work sort of coalesced into this report that we will be presenting here tonight.

I'm not going to present all of the material on the slides as we go through, because they are quite thorough. For the sake of those watching at home, I just wanted to download the report, but I can go back to any of the slides afterwards, if you have questions as we go through.

So the outline of our presentation here tonight, let me first talk about the background information about the Town, really the services that it currently provides and the challenges that the existing boundary presents to the Town and its opportunity for growth and future sustainability.

We will have a look at the current boundary, as well as the proposed boundary. I put the maps in the presentation again at the end of the presentation because I know we can probably just leave the map up for most of the evening, and people would want to talk on that. So if I skip past the map slides quickly, rest assured I can bring that up again at the end.

We will go through the criteria for the restructuring. The Town established criteria and objectives of the restructuring independent of what is required for an application through IRAC and through the Municipal Government Act, and so I wanted to discuss that criteria first, and then I will go through the requirements of the Municipal Government Act, fundamental principles and questions that needed to be answered as a part of their application for restructuring.

So to get down to the nitty-gritty of the

numbers, background information on the Town of
Kensington, the Town is small. The land area is
just 3.25 square kilometres and a population of just
over 1,600 in 2016. That doesn't reflect all of the
development, which has been significant over the
past four years. A new census will be done this
next year, and so we will be able to reflect the
growth.

The growth has been steady since 2000, significantly higher than the average provincial growth, seven percent between 2011 and 2016 alone. Really what to take away from the message of population and land area for those not familiar with whether or not that is big or not, the Town is very small and it has a high population density, significantly higher than most municipalities in PEI. They are fully developed to its current boundaries.

The Town's tax rates are separated, as most municipalities are, commercial and non-commercial. Commercial tax rates are 1.3 and non-commercial 55 cents for every 100 of assessed value, and that reflects...in the current assessment value you can see that the non-commercial significantly is more substantial in terms of the assessment value in

L	the	Town	than	the	commercial.

Most people, when they think about municipal services, they immediately think of the hard infrastructure services, like water and sewer, and the Town of Kensington does offer those services.

Those customers that are connected to those services pay for those services, but that is not covered within the general municipal tax base. So I just wanted to make that clear upfront in terms of municipal services. They offer a substantial other wide range of services, everything from public safety, fire, police, emergency management, general government and administrative services, such as planning, development control, financial management, human resources, public works and maintenance.

The Town no longer owns its own roads, but they do maintain sidewalks and green spaces and open public spaces within the Town.

Cultural and recreation services, such as the pool and the playgrounds, green spaces, these are all municipally run and offered municipal services, services that are benefitting areas far outside the current municipal boundaries. Residents and property owners come to the Town to take benefit

of these services, whether or not they're currently located within the Town.

Beyond the municipal services, there are also community services that many people rely on, including social services, like the libraries, medical centre, daycares, dental offices, veterinary clinic and certainly retail stores, related to grocery, flower shops, real estate, restaurant. I don't need to go through them all.

If you live in the surrounding area of
Kensington, you're aware of the wide range of
services that are available to you. I have a summer
property as far away as Hope River, and that's where
I get my groceries. You know, it certainly is a
broad service area in terms of the number of people
and properties that fall within the service
distribution area for the Town of Kensington.

Institutional services, such as the Legion, churches, schools, also have a broad service area far beyond the current boundaries of the Town of Kensington.

This is the current boundary. It is unlike any municipal boundary I have ever seen outside PEI or inside PEI. I would challenge anybody to try to draw it on a map without looking

1 at it.

The boundary reflects ad hoc planning. It reflects property by property applications of people wanting to be added to the Town, which is how it has grown over the past few years. It reflects the desire of the Town to grow to its maximum boundary limits without disrupting the adjacent unincorporated areas, and unfortunately what it has resulted in is a very piecemeal arrangement where there are literal doughnut holes of properties within the boundary that are outside the boundary.

So the current challenges that the Town is facing as a result of its current arrangement are quite simple in that the Town needs to grow in order to remain sustainable. The Town is unable to grow because it has grown to its maximum capacity of its current boundary.

The health and future of the Town, its future sustainability, impacts not just the Town, itself, as a municipality, not just the residents of the Town, but all property owner and residents that live within the unincorporated area in the surrounding area outside the boundary of the Town.

When we started this discussion about restructuring and undertaking this study, as a

1	planner, my first instinct was to look at school
2	zones. We went so far as to get a map of the hockey
3	district, to look at the fire district boundary.
4	Those are very big, very massive change type
5	restructuring, and we have seen similar applications
6	around the province where municipalities have
7	amalgamated, and have gone from being very small to
8	now being some of the largest in land area in the
9	province.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Town is not interested in that type of restructuring at this time, and they specifically wanted to make that known, that their interest is not to just be bigger for the sake of being bigger at this time.

If that discussion comes down the road, it will come as a collaborative effort with surrounding areas and with the unincorporated areas.

At this time, the objectives are very specific and very clear. The objectives of this application are to increase future development and economic growth opportunities and to expand land use planning to ensure sustainable growth and environmentally sensitive growth for the future of the Town.

So this is the map of the proposed area.

The yellow properties are the properties that have been proposed to be annexed by the Town. I'll leave that up for a minute for you to have a look at.

The dashed areas are large properties that have extensions of land that are currently inaccessible, and so the entire property wasn't necessarily warranted to be brought in through this process. So this application excluded portions of...I think it's just the three properties, two to the south and one to the northwest.

How that works from a taxation perspective is that it's just the percentage of the land area within the Town would be taxed accordingly. As I mentioned, I can bring the map up again during the question period.

So as I mentioned, the Town was not interested in a large scale annexation based on service boundaries, such as the school or fire district. We took a very measured approach to this application with four very specific criteria established for whether or not a property warranted being annexed by the Town. I'm going to go through each of these four individually.

So the first one was is the property currently benefitting from municipal services, but

1	not within the municipal boundary. As I mentioned,
2	the service boundary is actually quite large. So
3	properties benefit from fire, police services,
4	schools, recreation services if you live within
5	close proximity to the existing boundary as a
6	resident and also as a local business.
7	There are local businessesthe cemetery,
8	for example, the Kensington cemetery, outside the
9	boundary. If you incorporate the name of the Town
10	in your business name, perhaps you should be within
11	the Town boundary.
12	So those properties that were included for
13	this reason are residents who benefit from the local
14	services equivalent to that of Town residents. It's
15	fair to say that those residents should pay the same
16	tax rates and contribute to the services that the
17	Town is providing because they are just like
18	everybody else within the Town.
19	They benefit from fire, police,
20	recreational facilities, the businesses, and 10
21	properties are actually already connected to the

recreational facilities, the businesses, and 10 properties are actually already connected to the water and/or sewer services. So they're physically connected to the utilities of the Town, but not yet within the Town boundary.

22

23

24

25

The second criteria that we set was that

if the municipality has leapfrogged you in the past, if you're one of those doughnut properties that you're surrounded by properties within the boundary, but you're not yet in the boundary, then it was warranted time to bring you into the boundary.

The Town can't service, whether it is hard infrastructure services like water or sewer or even, you know, just providing other types of services, like emergency management, when it's skipping properties to get to the next property that it is providing that service to.

Think of Hurricane Dorian and the number of stories of residents outside the boundary that came to the Town for help who didn't know that they actually weren't within Town boundary limits. The Town is where they come to for all their services, and they were looking for help from the Town in the recovery efforts. So where we have holes and the potential for land use conflict in those holes because the Province currently does not have any land use planning regulations.

It means that while the Town has zoning and regulations on development, there is no process to determine the land use within those holes within the Town's planning structure.

So the third criteria that we used to determine if a property was warranted to be brought in was whether it presented an opportunity for future economic growth and investment through subdivision and development.

Now, this benefits not just the Town, but certainly benefits the property owner as well, with the opportunity to develop or subdivide their land. It's no secret that the Town has proposed a 38-lot commercial industrial park, but in order for the Town to grow the businesses, the work opportunities, the services that that industrial park could provide the area, it needed to acquire the land for that, and that land exists beyond the current boundary.

The final category or criteria was to require improved environmental management and protection through the provision of land use planning. Most people think of land use planning as development control, but it actually relates to a whole lot of other issues that, if done well, no one should notice. People only notice land use planning when it's not done well, and then you think, "What in the world were they thinking?" right?

There are many locations along the existing boundary where roads actually kind of dead

end into the boundary of the municipality. In order
for subdivisions and residential development to
continue to grow beyond the boundary, those road
networks need to be planned in advance, and if you
don't have municipal planning working on that other
side of the boundary, that road is going to nowhere
right now under provincial jurisdiction.

So there was some targeted annexation of properties where services would be extended and where it is most likely to extend for those residential type developments.

Land use planning also provides land use protection, especially from an environmental perspective. The Town currently has a water source that everybody that is on the Town service relies on, but there is always a risk to your water.

Without water the Town isn't very sustainable.

The Town conducted a study on a potential second source of water as a backup plan. That's good planning practices. That study was done...how many years ago? About three years ago.

In the study they identified five potential sites of a second water source for the Town, and so in order to protect that land area...it doesn't mean not to develop it. It just means to

- 21

develop it with sensitivity to the fact that there
is a water resource underneath and so you don't
want, for example, high intensity industrial uses
within your water well area.

So we have included the parcels that are within two of those potential well sites as areas that we would want to include under the municipal land use planning in order to regulate what potential uses could go there to protect the potential future water source for the Town.

I mentioned this earlier. The future sustainability of the Town isn't just about the Town and its tax base. It's about the existing residents as much as it is about the proposed residents and those in the larger service area.

The annexation that is being proposed benefits many. It will allow for the municipality to continue offering services and programs. It will provide a sharing of the cost of the services that are already provided, many of which are provided outside the current boundary but over a larger population, and it will enable the Town to enhance the current services that it provides and develop new programs and attract investments.

I already mentioned the proposed

1	indu	ıstrial	park	۲.	That	is	new	work	opp	portunit	ies,
2	new	service	es, r	new	busin	ess	ses I	for y	our	Town.	

An example of the recent initiative that everyone will benefit from is the new emergency reception centre for severe weather events, power outages, so that not just Town residents within the current boundary can benefit from that. If your power is out and you need help, you can come to the Town.

So just a quick overview of the stats, the figures of what this annexation actually looks like in numbers, the annexation includes 106 properties which account for about 1.57 square kilometres of land area.

It increases the Town's land area by almost 50 percent. So it sounds pretty substantial, but you have to remember you're the third smallest municipality in PEI. You have room to grow.

It increases the number of properties by 13 percent. So even though it's 50 percent bigger, it's a small number of properties. A number of those are large parcels.

We don't know an exact census number because the census doesn't follow the new proposed boundary, but it's approximately 11 percent new

1	residents	within	+ha	$T \cap wn$
1	residents	$W \perp U \perp $	LIIE	TOWII.

7.8 percent. What that demonstrates is that this isn't a tax grab. The potential growth of those properties is where tax assessment will be influenced over time. There are no immediate properties to benefit...like, that the Town is going to benefit off of a substantial tax increase, but the potential growth of the Town benefits everybody.

This is a breakdown of the tax assessment for those that want to get into the figures. Most of you are probably here as residents, as individual property owners. You'll be most interested in the note at the bottom, which indicates that your taxes, if approved, if you're annexed by the Town, will be phased in. The changes in the taxes will be phased in over four years.

So the Town has made that commitment that there would only be a 25 percent increase in the first year, 50 percent second year, 75 percent in the third year, and then you would be paying equivalent taxes to those of Town residents after four years.

So as I mentioned before, those were the criteria that the Town set out in order to undertake

this study of what properties were warranted to come into the annexation. The Municipal Government Act states that there are five questions that have to be answered as part of the application. So I wanted to answer those questions for you as well. The first one is:

"...Does the proposal demonstrate that the municipality has or will have the ability and capacity to meet the immediate and long-term needs of the residents within the boundary proposed?..."

I would argue that the Town already does. There are very few properties beyond the boundary of the Town that don't currently benefit from the Town's municipal services, police, fire, recreational services. Those are municipal services that, you know...and even the commercial businesses that are privately owned that aren't operated by the municipality, the municipality supports those to be there. So yes, the municipality has demonstrated the capacity to meet the needs of those residents, of those property owners.

I mentioned earlier 10 properties are already connected to the Town's water and sewers, and the Town does have plans to extend services to

1	some of the areas that are within the proposed new
2	boundary. The second question asked is:
3	"Is the proposed municipality
4	financially viable?"
5	Again, yes. The Town not only is financially viable
6	and able to offer its current services, but it's
7	able to invest in and develop and grow a new
8	industrial park, a new emergency shelter, services
9	that everyone can benefit from. The third question
10	is:
11	"Does the proposed municipality have"
12	Oh, I missed one, sorry. They are in different
13	order:
14	"Will the proposed municipality hinder
15	an existing municipality's ability to
16	expand its boundaries or provide services
17	to its residents?"
18	The closest municipalities to the Town of Kensington
19	are a kilometre and a half to the north, Malpeque
20	Bay, and almost 15 kilometres to the west, the City
21	of Summerside. So if either of those municipalities
22	decided to grow, the proposed boundary, what is
23	being proposed here today, doesn't impact those
24	municipalities in any way.
25	If anything, the municipality of Malpeque

1	Bay, they still rely on the Town for their general
2	commercial services as well.
3	Does theI didn't make a slide for the
4	other question, so that's why I missed it, sorry:
5	"Does the proposed municipality have a
6	stable base of economic activity?"
7	It certainly does. The final question:
8	"Does the proposal demonstrate that the
9	municipality has a vision of its services
10	it intends to provide its residents in the
11	<pre>immediate and long term?"</pre>
12	So in 2019 the Town undertook a strategic plan
13	exercise and set out an action plan for the next 10
14	years. This strategic plan is different from its
15	official plan in that it was strategic in looking at
16	financial opportunities for growth, for
17	collaboration with nearby municipalities,
18	communities, organizations and that plan will guide
19	its official plan process as we get underway over
20	the next year, but we first want to include these
21	additional properties, if this annexation is
22	approved, in that process as well.
23	That's everything. Thank you very much.
24	I'm happy to take questions.
25	MS. MITCHELL: So I'm going to open the

1	floor now to any questions that anyone may have of
2	Ms. Parnham, or I think of the other officials from
3	the Town. Mayor Caseley is here as well as CAO
4	Geoff Baker. Yes, please do. I just ask that you
5	approach the mic and then
6	MR. CUZEL: I will tell you my name is
7	Mike Cuzel. I am 204 Calvin Road and I am here 14
8	years. Now, I just want to ask you I notice when
9	you said that after four years our taxes will go 100
10	percent higher like we have, right?
11	MS. PARNHAM: Not 100 percent higher
12	than what you have. It's 100 percent ofyou will
13	be charged the municipal tax rate, yes.
14	MR. CUZEL: Right, so and as well, it
15	should benefit me what I'm paying the taxes for. I
16	don't have water. I don't have sewage. I don't
17	have lights. I don't have sidewalks. So you want
18	me to pay increased 25 percent first year, second
19	whatever. After four yearsare you going to give
20	it to me after four years so I can really enjoy it?
21	MS. PARNHAM: So as I mentioned, water
22	and sewer services
23	MR. CUZEL: Yes?
24	MS. PARNHAM:are not charged to
25	properties that are not serviced. Those are

1	services that are separate from the municipal
2	services that
3	MR. CUZEL: No, you mentionedif I may
4	say, you mentioned I will be able to enjoy services
5	what the Town people have, right?
6	MS. PARNHAM: Yes.
7	MR. CUZEL: Okay, so how come you tell
8	me that I cannot enjoy the services if you don't
9	provide it for me? I don't have, as I said,
10	sidewalks, what you mentioned, water, sewage and
11	lights, which they have it all around the city. So
12	it means are you going to guarantee that after four
13	years I will have this one? Otherwise, I will give
14	you signed blank cheque and you can put whatever you
15	want to on it? What I will be paying for, this four
16	years?
17	MS. PARNHAM: So the range of municipal
18	services are beyond that of the hard infrastructure.
19	As I mentioned earlier, there is a wide range.
20	MR. CUZEL: I know, but whatmy
21	question is I give you more money. So what I am
22	going to get for this money for this four years?
23	MS. PARNHAM: You will get the services
24	that you currently get without paying for them.
25	MR. CUZEL: I don't have any services

1	from you.
2	MS. PARNHAM: Do you have fire?
3	MR. CUZEL: Fire, if I understand well,
4	every tax what you get, you have a fire inside what
5	I am paying, right? So you don't tell me that I will
6	get more fire protection what I have already now.
7	Is it true? When you have a tax, a payer tax, it's
8	divided how much you pay for education, how much you
9	pay for your property, how much you pay for your
10	garbage collection and how much you pay for your
11	fire protection, including the police.
12	So don't tell me I will get more police
13	protection. So it means I don't have it now? This
14	is very questionable, you know what, because let's
15	say four years from now, if I'm still good and well,
16	I will be here, but you will get my money for
17	nothing, because all what I have now, it's okay, but
18	you will increase the payment from my whatever, 7.8
19	percent first yearno, 25 percent first year,
20	right?
21	MS. PARNHAM: M'hm.
22	MR. CUZEL: Second year, 50 percent,
23	third, 75 percent and after four years, 100 percent
24	what I am paying now. So what? What it means if I,
25	let's say, pay \$500, I will pay \$1,000, and I won't

1	get anything for it? That's little bit fishy. I am
2	sorry, and I don't agree with it.
3	You know, if you propose something like
4	this, is it something like what you say people
5	should really have some impact to it. In my mind,
6	you already rubber stamped this one. Do you? No,
7	do you? You mentioned that you are going ahead with
8	it.
9	MS. PARNHAM: No, I
10	MR. CUZEL: No, did you ever
11	MS. PARNHAM: I don't make that
12	decision.
13	MR. CUZEL: Did you ever mention that
14	maybe we will cancel it? No, I didn't hear in your
15	speech that you said, "Maybe we will change it."
16	You never said so. You said you did the 10 years
17	program and you will go ahead with it. So what you
18	are here for only to prove that we have some impact
19	to it, which is for nothing, right? Okay, well,
20	think about it because I think a lot of people maybe
21	will ask the same way.
22	I am sorry that I said like this way, but
23	that's reallythat's reality. Some people enjoy,
24	like you said, all the services and they should pay
25	for it because they have it.

1	You know, you go on my way up there, and
2	you are like in Siberia. There are no lights, no
3	sidewalks. Police protection is somewhere on the
4	other side. So what is better for me in this
5	proposal, let's say afterI will wait four years,
6	but what happens after when you don't do it? Are
7	you going to give me my money back?
8	MS. MITCHELL: Can I just interrupt for
9	one moment?
10	MR. CUZEL: Yes.
11	MS. MITCHELL: I just didn't catch your
12	name at the beginning.
13	MR. CUZEL: Cuzel, Mike Cuzel, C-U-Z-E-
14	L, 204 Calvin Road.
15	MS. MITCHELL: Thank you very much.
16	That's one thing that I would state as well, is when
17	you're coming up to the mic, if you could state your
18	name and then your address
19	MR. CUZEL: I said it first.
20	MS. MITCHELL:just so that we have
21	that on the record. I'm sorry, I didn't hear it.
22	Thank you.
23	MR. CUZEL: Okay. Anyway, that's
24	MS. PARNHAM: If I may respond to your
25	comments

1	MR. CUZEL: That's okay.
2	MS. PARNHAM:I haven't had a chance
3	to respond.
4	MR. CUZEL: I would like to, if you have
5	somewhere written that I said it, and you will
6	respond to it somehow, anyone.
7	MS. PARNHAM: Can I respond?
8	MR. CUZEL: Sure, go ahead.
9	MS. PARNHAM: I 100 percent appreciate
10	where you're coming from, and I 100 percent realize
11	that every property owner here representing an
12	unincorporated property has no interest in being
13	annexed into a Town.
14	They don't want to because the Province
15	currently provides services for free. So
16	MR. CUZEL: No, no, nobody provides
17	services for me free.
18	MS. PARNHAM: As long as the Province
19	MR. CUZEL: Where did you get this one?
20	MS. PARNHAM: As long as the Province
21	MR. CUZEL: No, listen, tell me what
22	MS. MITCHELL: Okay, folks, folks,
23	excuse me, excuse me.
24	MS. PARNHAM: Can I answer?
25	MR. CUZEL: Sure, go ahead.

1	MS. MITCHELL: Excuse me. Hope, just
2	give me one moment, please. So folks, what I would
3	like to doas I stated at the outset, this is
4	being recorded. We do have individuals that may not
5	be in the room that are potentially watching. So
6	would like to keep it as orderly as possible.
7	Everybody will have an opportunity to speak if they
8	wish to speak.
9	MR. CUZEL: All right, thank you very
10	much.
11	MS. MITCHELL: Okay, so what I would ask
12	is that if you have a question that
13	MR. CUZEL: No, that's fine what I
14	MS. MITCHELL:let's have an
15	opportunity to get the answer and then we can move
16	on.
17	MR. CUZEL: Yes, I have to tell you
18	that, and I did. So I'm happy with it and hopefully
19	we will have some good reception of this whatever I
20	said.
21	MS. MITCHELL: Okay, thank you, Mr.
22	Cuzel. I'm going to turn it over to Ms. Parnham,
23	just if you have any further follow-up to that
24	particular question.
25	MS. PARNHAM: I would like to clarify on

1	the response. I didn't get to finish. PEI is very
2	unique. We have a very unique planning landscape
3	because we have 90 percent of our land area that is
4	either unincorporated or is within a municipality
5	that doesn't have the capacity to provide services.
6	This is unique across our country.
7	It is not a healthy or sustainable way to
8	have municipal governance, to have land use
9	planning, to have environmental protections. It is
10	something that planners in the province have been
11	advocating for change for a very long time.
12	You see significant restructurings
13	happening in other municipalities across the
14	province. Those are municipalities that are
15	amalgamating. You know, if two or three
16	municipalities are side-by-side, they can
17	collaborate together and they can realize that, "We
18	are more sustainable together. We are more
19	sustainable if we're bigger. We can share services
20	and we can move forward as a bigger municipality."
21	The Town of Kensington does not have that

The Town of Kensington does not have that option. So if the Town of Kensington is going to have a sustainable future it needs to grow into an unincorporated area.

22

23

24

25

Those properties are currently taxed

1	through the Province just the same as the municipal
2	properties are taxed by the Province, but the
3	properties outside the boundary currently receive
4	services from the Province that the residents within
5	the Town boundary do not benefit from.
6	So if we're going to grow, if we're going
7	to be sustainable, if we're going to have economic
8	development, boundaries need to change, and nobody
9	wants to pay more taxes. I understand that. Thank
10	you for your comments.
11	MS. MITCHELL: Okay. Are there any
12	other questions? Yes, please, to the mic, and
13	you'll notice, as well, Courtney is going and just
14	taking a wipe and wiping them down. Again, this is
15	Covid times. It'swe're notplease nobody take
16	offence at that. It's just we're trying to maintain
17	a germ-free environment. So please proceed. State
18	your name and your address, please.
19	MR. MURPHY: My name is Reg Murphy. I
20	live at 8 Andrews Drive just outside the borders of
21	Kensington.
22	MS. MITCHELL: Okay.
23	MR. MURPHY: I would like to know why
24	the Town seems to take sole ownership of the fire
25	department when most ofI shouldn't say most. A

1	lot of the volunteers and most of the financing
2	comes from outside the Town borders. It sounds like
3	you're saying that the Town provides the fire
4	department and all these services, but most of the
5	volunteers and the financing comes from outside the
6	Town.
7	MS. MITCHELL: Ms. Parnham, I don't know
8	if you are
9	MS. PARNHAM: I don't know if I can
10	answer that more appropriate. That may be me just
11	speaking and including it in amongst other municipal
12	services. The fire department is certainly
13	centrally located within the Town and I can't speak
14	to the budgets of the Town and how it supports
15	the
16	MR. MURPHY: My name is Leeman Murphy,
17	and I'm 24 Andrews Drive. I am in that same
18	district or same area as Reg, and I pay my fire dues
19	through the municipalor the provincial collection
20	system, and that area outside of the Town from
21	Margate downadjoining New London all the way
22	through to Sherman's Point contributes \$165,000 a
23	year to the Town, plus the municipality of Malpeque
24	contributes as well.
25	Now, from your proposal, it's \$249,000 per

1	year. 165 of that comes from the north endor
2	from the south end and southeast, and I don't know
3	what the Malpeque, but I don't think the Town pays
4	anything.
5	If you're doingyou know, and they're so
6	noble about it. We're paying and we're paying well.
7	Thank you.
8	MS. PARNHAM: Thank you for your
9	comments.
10	MS. MITCHELL: Okay, any other
11	questions? Okay, yes, please, go ahead.
12	MR. MOUSE: My name is Wyman Mouse. I
13	have been to some of the previous Town proposals,
14	and one of the things that they stated which might
15	solve Mike's question was in Mike's situationhe
16	lives in Calvin Grove. He has no water, no sewer,
17	no street lights and no sidewalks.
18	At previous meetings they said his tax
19	rate would not be going up by 55 cents per 100.
20	He'll be phased in based on the services he
21	received. If that is, in fact, the way it is going
22	to work, you would save a lot of people complaining
23	if you would clarify that.
24	MS. PARNHAM: I will pass that off to
25	Geoff.

MR. BAKER: I'm not sure I have a great answer to that, but in 2012 we did hire a consultant who did do a boundary...an initial boundary restructuring proposal for us and one of the rate structures that was proposed through that process was what the Province had referred to at the time as sort of a bull's eye effect. So your tax rate would be based upon your proximity to core services, and some of those core services included some of those that were referenced this evening, like sidewalks and street lights and water and sewer, those types of things, which are very reach-out and able to touch tangible services.

While I don't think we can stand here this evening and say that we're going to...that we're going to install sidewalks within the next three to five years along Calvin Grove Road, I can't stand here tonight and commit to that, because that depends on budgets and funding availability and priorities and things of that nature.

What I can tell you is that the services that will be delivered is exactly as we enunciated this evening, which are planning and land use, water and sewer at some point in the future. We do have a couple of water and sewer projects planned over the

Ţ	next couple of years, public works and maintenance
2	services, things like the pool, private union
3	centre, recreation centre, things of that nature are
4	the types of services that we're going to be in a
5	position to deliver right away.
6	So as part of this current study, the
7	initial bull's eye proposal of paying a tax rate
8	commensurate to your proximity to the core level of
9	services is not something that we considered as part
10	of this current study.
11	MR. MOUSE: Okay, thank you.
12	MS. MITCHELL: Any other questions
13	before we open it up for general feedback or
14	commentary? Just state your name and your address,
15	please.
16	MR. CROCKEN: My name is Rudy Crocken
17	and I live in Kensington, 90 Broadway. On one of
18	the slides it mentioned that there was going to be
19	land annexed next to Lynnwood Drive to access
20	Broadway Street North. Is that to put in a road?
21	90 Broadway Street is the last house in
22	Town now currently on that side of Broadway North,
23	and I'm just wondering is that referring to access
24	Broadway Street North. Is it a new road that is
25	going to be put in there or what is thecould you

1	just explain that?
2	MS. PARNHAM: So the municipality
3	doesn't build roads. Developers do. So
4	MR. CROCKEN: I don't care who builds
5	it.
6	MS. PARNHAM: Yes, no, but the
7	municipality isn't proposing to build a road, a
8	connection, but without the land within the Town
9	there is no opportunities for roads to connect from
10	the north and back out.
11	So we have got a dead end subdivision with
12	no potential to networkstreet network is
13	extremely important for service provisions, for
14	emergency response, to have multiple access points.
15	So that was one area that was identified as a
16	potential street network opportunity for future
17	planning.
18	MR. CROCKEN: So the answer is yes?
19	MS. PARNHAM: Only if a developer, a
20	property owner, proposes to develop the property.
21	MR. CROCKEN: The reasonand the
22	officials of the Town understand why I'm asking this
23	question, because I have been fighting this fight
24	since 1979. I'm a 45-year resident of Kensington,
25	and that whole areaand I don't know if you're

1	aware,	but	up	on	Lynnwood	Drive	was	built	with	no
2	storm s	seweı	îs.							

The storm sewer is over my property.

That's where the water runs because there is no place else for it to go, and I have brought this to the Town just as recently within the last...well, before Covid-19, for sure.

Where have we got in all that time?

Sadly, no place. We still have that same problem,
and my concern is if they're going to put anything
more up on that hill, now after being...talking to
the Town for years, they say, "No, it's not our
problem. It's now the Province's problem because
they do that. They do the roads." It doesn't solve
my problem, but before any more roads should be put
up on that northwest end of the Town, those issues
have to be dealt with, absolutely have to be dealt
with.

I agree with Wyman and I think this is my fourth go at these meetings, and I think what Wyman said...I remember that being discussed, and I think it has a lot to do with the problems of those people.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{My}}$$ daughter, in the municipality she lived in, all the places, as far as I can figure out, were

all taxed at the same rate, okay, and this is a provincial problem. It's not a Kensington problem.

If Leeman has a house worth 300,000 and I have a house, living in Town, that's worth 300,000, we should be both taxed at the same rate, just for the house and that half-acre property or whatever you want to include.

I have sidewalks. So I should be taxed at a little higher rate there. I have street lights.

So I should be taxed at a higher rate there. Leeman doesn't have those things. He shouldn't be taxed at that.

The same thing. My daughter was very close to the library. So she was charged a higher rate than those people that lived a way out in North Preston, and all of those were added into the tax. Whereas maybe I have had another \$500 added to my taxes, people that lived way outside the district on the other end, they wouldn't see any of that increase.

To me, that's a fair way, but the Province, to me, has to do that, and whether they have the wherewithall...it would have to be done within three weeks after an election, because there would be some feedback on it, and they might not get

1	elected again, but no one has got the guts to do it.
2	I will tell you, for our water problem,
3	fight for something for 45 years, you weren't even
4	around 45 years ago. So thank you.
5	MS. PARNHAM: Thank you.
6	MS. MITCHELL: Thanks, Courtney. Any
7	other questions? Yes, please?
8	MR. McGILL: My name is T.J. McGill. I
9	live at 131 Broadway Street. I'm justa little
10	bit of a follow-up to Rudy, because you again
11	mentioned that access from Broadway Street North to
12	Lynnwood Drive.
13	I think I know where that will be going
14	and it's right between our two houses. So we
15	literally last year just bought a house in the
16	country outside of Town because we wanted to be
17	outside of Town. So it was a little bit of a shock
18	to us to find out that this whole process was going
19	on.
20	So we took possession of our property
21	October 11th. Surveys were sent out December 9th or
22	11th, I believe, but the people on the registration
23	were not updated at that point. We were not
24	consulted on the first round of consultation.
25	So it was the publication in January when

1	we suddenly found out that our new, nice property
2	outside of Town might no longer be outside of Town.
3	MS. MITCHELL: When you say "the first
4	round" you mean the proposal or the
5	MR. McGILL: The initial consultation.
6	MS. MITCHELL:initial report that
7	was being
8	MR. McGILL: Yes, in December.
9	MS. MITCHELL:prepared by the
10	consultant, okay.
11	MR. McGILL: Yes. So if there is a plan
12	for a subdivision or something like that behind my
13	house out of nowhere, I would really like to see
14	some more detail on that. We certainly don't
15	support the current proposal as is. The proposal
16	had a lot of, to me, buzz words, very little detail
17	on what the plan is, other than the new industrial
18	park, which I think people arepeople understand
19	the need for, but it's not going right beside my
20	house. So that is my concern.
21	Again, to add to some other people's
22	points, we don't have water or sewer. Okay, we
23	understand that's not covered by the municipal
24	services. There are no sidewalks to my house.
25	There are no street lights. Our entire property is

1	completely	dark	at	night.

The municipal services, as mentioned, some of that is covered in our taxes already. The point I would like to make is all of the services downtown, all of the businesses, the people outside of town avail of, the restaurants, hardware stores and lumber yards, those are not supported by the 1,700 people of Kensington.

There is simply not enough of a population there. So we are already paying our way. We are supporting those businesses. We are providing employment opportunities and to suddenly get an increase in our taxes with ostensibly no extra benefit, again, I just disagree with it.

MS. MITCHELL: Thank you. I'm going to open it now. I don't know if there is any...if you folks want to respond to any of the commentary, but I think what we will do is, as opposed to questions, if people want to come up and say their...if they have things they want to share with the panel, please do so, and then we can just make this more of a conversation if there are questions that arise during that time.

during that time.

MS. PARNHAM: I just wanted to thank you for your comments and just to respond to a couple of

the points made. With regards to the sidewalks and street lighting, you don't have it right now because you're outside Town limits.

So for an opportunity for those types of services to be extended, there is a necessary need to extend boundaries, right. So specific to just those types of services. If you already had those outside the boundary, you wouldn't want to be paying more taxes for something you already receive anyway, but with regards to a future road and future subdivision, I want to be clear that the land beyond the boundary that has been proposed for annexation currently does not have land use planning regulations associated with it.

Applications for planning development go through the provincial process right now. Property owners have the right to develop their land, and currently that would be under the Planning Act and planning and subdivision regulations.

Through the official plan and bylaw review process that the Town will undertake, that is when zoning would be assigned to that property, assuming it is annexed into the Town.

So should it be annexed, then a zone would be applied, whether it remains agricultural or

1	residentialyou have stated you wouldn't want an
2	industrial park in your backyard. That would be the
3	opportunity to speak to what is potential
4	development opportunities on that land.
5	There is no development proposal
6	associated with this application, other than the
7	industrial park, which has been publicly made aware,
8	but any of the property owners on those types of
9	parcels, if they have no intention to develop it,
10	the Town is not developing it.
11	MS. MITCHELL: Okay, and I'll open the
12	mic now for anyone else who wishes to say a few
13	words. Yes, please, and again, if you could just
14	state your name and your address, that would be
15	great.
16	MR. NURICK: This is for the panel.
17	Hello, my name is Ivan Nurick. I live at 252 Irish
18	Town Road. I have already submitted a letter to
19	IRAC, but I put down some words to share here
20	tonight.
21	I live approximately half a kilometre past
22	Kensington Town limits, give or take. I'm not sure
23	the exact distance, out the Irish Town Road. So I
24	don't know if you guys are familiar with where the
25	Irish Town Road is or where the cemetery is. So up

1	in the northeast corner, I guess, and I'm the house,
2	the last house, on the right-hand side of the road.
3	Across from me there is another little house that is
4	seasonal. They just live there in the summer
5	months, and of course, there is the graveyard there.
6	Now, I'm probably the farthest away from
7	the Town's proposed industrial park expansion, and I
8	can understand them wanting to expand for the
9	industrial park, but I can't see why my property is
10	so important to the Town's annexation plans being so
11	far away.
12	There are no other houses between the Town
13	and my property, only a forest that has been donated
14	by the Gorman family and designated a green space.
15	If that forest hadn't been given to the Town, I
16	would never have bordered Town land. That's all
17	forest from the Town limits out to my house.
18	It's a green space and it's supposed to be
19	kept as a green space. So
20	MS. MITCHELL: If I can justthat's
21	thatso your property is that sort of corner of
22	that triangle?
23	MR. NURICK: Little pie.
24	MS. MITCHELL: Right, and then the rest
25	of the triangle is the green space. Is that

1	correct?
2	MR. NURICK: Yes.
3	MS. MITCHELL: Okay, that was my
4	understanding. I just wanted to clarify, okay.
5	MR. NURICK: My point is is I'm a long
6	ways away from what they really want to do with this
7	property, right.
8	So how can my property be an issue with
9	Town expansion being so far away? The mayor and
10	Geoff Baker told us it was nothing personal, I can
11	understand. That a firm included our property to
12	square up a boundary so it would look better on
13	paper is basically what they told me, and yes, it
14	does look better because it goes straight up. I
15	have to agree with that.
16	If it is no big deal, I asked them to
17	exclude us from the proposal, but we were told there
18	would be no exception made.
19	We spoke to Ministers McKay and Fox, and
20	both gentlemen said they could not see why an
21	exception can't be made. They were very concerned
22	and helpful and they suggested that I come here
23	tonight.
24	The Town has said they won't be bringing
25	water and sewer out, which we don't need anyway.

The only thing we would receive is Kensington Police Services, and we're happy with the RCMP, thanks, and you know, everybody mentions about the stores and whatnot, but people can go to Summerside and buy.

I would imagine that the Save-Easy and the pharmacy are damn lucky and they are thankful that people from the country do come to their stores to buy stuff, but like I don't see why it's an honour for me to buy from the Save-Easy. I can go to the Superstore and buy in Summerside. Well, we came in here tonight. It's not hard to come to Summerside. So that's a silly little point, I think.

We would receive absolutely nothing for paying the Town \$1,600 more in taxes. I would have to make about 2,000 more a year. I'm a seasonal carpenter and my wife is a laid off daycare worker. It says in the County Line Courier the Town isn't interested in the extra tax money. So why pick on us? Our tax money would be a small drop in the bucket for the Town, but a crippling bill for my family.

Besides these points, my wife, two children and my elderly mother-in-law who lives with us and myself just can't afford to pay the Town that \$1,600.

1	Where would the money come from? Really,
2	I mean, I have told the Town we would have to
3	bewe would be forced to sell our house because I
4	just don't have the money and I can't come up with
5	an extra \$2,000 every year.
6	This whole process has kind of left a bad
7	feeling, and I don't expect anyone to feel sorry for
8	us, but in the spirit of fairness, I ask the panel
9	to please drop our propertyand common sense as
10	well.
11	Like, I think it's a common sense
12	situation where we are on the outer fringes. We're
13	not in between land that the Town already owns.
14	We're not handy to the industrial park. We're on
15	the outer fringes, getting nothing in return. I
16	just ask that you at least consider dropping us off
17	the proposal. Thank you for your time.
18	MS. MITCHELL: Thank you very much. I
19	have just a couple of questions for the Town at this
20	point. The first is in thein the last
21	presenter's presentation he indicated that there was
22	a significant portion of land that was designated
23	green space.
24	Is that in the deed or is thatisI'm
25	just curious as to what the status is of that.

1	MR. BAKER: Do you mean the property
2	that borders Mr. Nurick's property?
3	MS. MITCHELL: That I believe the
4	Gormans had donated, I think, was the
5	MR. BAKER: That property was donated to
6	the community through an Environment Canada eco-gift
7	program. It was certainly before my time of coming
8	to the Town. I believe it was in 2006, 2007.
9	It formed part of a larger proposal. So
10	the Town took ownership of a large of chunk of
11	property in the vicinity of its well field to
12	provide protection for its well field, so that the
13	property would never be developed in a way that
14	could jeopardize our water system.
15	So as part of that process, and I don't
16	know all the details of that, but there was a wood
17	lot that was donated to the Town as well that formed
18	part of this eco-gift program that has to be kept in
19	its current state in perpetuity for the benefit of
20	the community.
21	So there are walking trails. We have
22	allowed the local Scouts, Cubs, Girl Guides to
23	plantdo their tree planting programs and things
24	of that nature on that property.
25	MS. MITCHELL: Okay. Then to thethis

1	is north of
2	MR. BAKER: Yes.
3	MS. MITCHELL: So to the north, that's
4	sort of that form the corners of the proposednew
5	proposed boundary. That's the cemetery?
6	MR. BAKER: The cemetery would be
7	thekind of the second property from thefrom
8	the top right-hand corner there, yes.
9	MS. MITCHELL: Okay.
10	MR. BAKER: So that would be the
11	cemetery program. You'll see a small block behind
12	that, which is four acres that we donated to the
13	cemetery about eight, 10 years ago.
14	MS. MITCHELL: That the Town donated?
15	MR. BAKER: The Town.
16	MS. MITCHELL: So who owns the cemetery?
17	MR. BAKER: The cemetery is ownedI'm
18	not 100 percent sure who the actual owners are. I
19	believe it would be a Board of Directors.
20	MS. MITCHELL: Okay.
21	MR. BAKER: It's known as the Kensington
22	People's Cemetery, and so we took ownership of a
23	larger piece of property adjacent to the Gorman
24	property back in and around 2009.
25	MS. MITCHELL: Okay.

1	MR. BAKER: And as part of that, we
2	subdivided four acres off of that, and donated it to
3	the Kensington People's Cemetery. They had
4	approached us to see if we had any interest and so
5	we donated four acres to them for that purpose.
6	MS. MITCHELL: Okay, great, thank you.
7	I apologize if II just wanted to get some things
8	clear in my own mind before we go any further.
9	The last question that I have is the
10	number that was cited in terms of what the potential
11	impact of the annexation on the tax rate was \$1,600
12	a year, I believe was the amount that you had
13	estimated.
14	MR. NURICK: I pay around \$3,000
15	[inaudible] be around \$1,600.
16	MS. MITCHELL: And I guess my question
17	is is there an average or do you know what the
18	average impact is on residential tax rate inyou
19	know, in terms of these properties or is that
20	MR. BAKER: I don't know what the
21	MS. MITCHELL: Is it even possible to
22	calculate?
23	MR. BAKER: Within a couple of seconds,
24	it's probably a difficult number to ascertain.
25	MS. MITCHELL: Okay.

1	MR. BAKER: I would suggest that \$1,600
2	would certainly be on the high side of average as it
3	relates to the taxthe property tax impacts of the
4	residential properties included with the
5	application.
6	MS. MITCHELL: And recognizing that
7	they're not all residential properties that are
8	being annexed, or proposed to be annexed?
9	MR. BAKER: Yes.
10	MS. MITCHELL: Okay.
11	MR. NURICK: Could I say another word?
12	MS. MITCHELL: Please.
13	MR. NURICK: Now that you're speaking
14	about my taxes, I had a very similar house to what I
15	have now in Cape Traverse, and I built that house in
16	1995, and we moved out in 2002, and my taxes at that
17	time were less than \$1,000, and when we built
18	MS. MITCHELL: In Cape Traverse?
19	MR. NURICK: In Cape Traverse.
20	MS. MITCHELL: Okay.
21	MR. NURICK: So when we built our new
22	house in 2006, our taxes have gone up a little bit,
23	but they have been around \$3,000. I guess they
24	wereI don't know, a little lower than that, but
25	they went up here a couple of years ago.

1	So I was quite shocked of the big tax
2	bill, and I asked the Province to look at the taxes,
3	and they dropped it down a little bit. So it has
4	dropped down from what it was. So it'slike I
5	say, it's a little over \$3,000.
6	So it was a struggle to come up with extra
7	money to pay these taxes, and to come up with
8	another 1,600, I explained to you, it's just a
9	struggle that we're not going to be able to handle.
10	Thank you again.
11	MS. MITCHELL: Okay, thank you. Would
12	anyone else like to speak? Yes, please.
13	MR. McDOUGALL: Hi, Spencer McDougall.
14	I'm at 213 Calvin Road.
15	MS. MITCHELL: Okay.
16	MR. McDOUGALL: Our tax impact is about
17	similar to Ivan's, 12, 13 hundred a year additional.
18	I was just wondering if any consideration was given
19	to the, like, the times we are in with Covid. Is it
20	fair to ask, when most people are just kind of
21	worried on a day-to-day basis if we're going to keep
22	our jobs, let alone have to plan for this additional
23	tax increase. So that's a point to consider.
24	Something else I wanted to bring up is
25	could we anticipate any revised assessment values

1	provincially? Like, would this trigger something
2	like that?
3	MS. MITCHELL: Do you want to take
4	MR. BAKER: Do want me to addressI
5	think it's a possibility. The Town doesn't have
6	anything to do with the assessment of properties,
7	but certainly we would have very little issue of
8	having those discussions with the Province about
9	questioning some of the assessments, and I know
10	dealing with Mr. Nurick's property in particular, we
11	would agree with him from the perspective that we
12	also found his assessment to be quite high for the
13	property, and I made several phone calls on his
14	behalf, as I know Mayor Caseley made several phone
15	calls on his behalf as well to provincial property
16	taxation in an effort to get them to come out and
17	review the assessment of his property, to ensure
18	that the assessment was accurate.
19	So while we would have no direct control
20	over how the Province assesses property values, we
21	would certainly be willing to work with the Province
22	to have property assessments reviewed, absolutely.
23	MR. McDOUGALL: Yes, so just so
24	everybody in the room knows, I mean, if you're
25	underassessed and then the assessment goes up,

1	that's a double hit, right, potentially, yes.
2	I'm definitely in agreement, the whole
3	listing banking and retail as, you know, a taxable
4	benefit, I don't really consider that to be a
5	taxable benefit, to be honest, right?
6	With the water and sewer hookup, I'm on
7	the same road as Mike. I don't really consider it
8	Siberia, but it'syes, it's fair to say that for
9	those that do eventually, you know, according to the
10	10-year plan or whatever, if water and sewer is
11	available, it's totally up to the landowner to pay
12	for the hookup, correct?
13	MR. BAKER: It is theaccording to
14	water and sewerprovincial water and sewer
15	legislation, the Town is required to bring the water
16	and sewer connection to your property line, and it
17	will be the responsibility of the homeowner to bring
18	it from the property line into the house.
19	MR. McDOUGALL: So in our case, I
20	believe
21	SPEAKER: Do you know offhand what 600
22	metres would run us?
23	MR. McDOUGALL: Yes, I believe we got a
24	quote, and our quote was 20,000 which we would have
25	to absorb. You know, so for the few hundred a year

1	that we pay to maintain our own system, it just
2	wouldn'tit wouldn't work. I think that's all I
3	have got. Thank you.
4	MS. MITCHELL: Okay, thank you. Just on
5	the question of the potential tax implications to
6	the parcels that are being proposed to be annexed,
7	would it be possible for the Town to provide a
8	parcel-by-parcel estimate to the commission so that
9	we have an understanding of what the impacts are?
10	MR. BAKER: Yes, that would be quite
11	easy to do. Actually we may have a copy here this
12	evening. I thought it was included within the
13	application as well, but it may not have, but we
14	certainly have
15	MS. MITCHELL: We have got a lot of
16	paper here, and if it has been, I apologize.
17	MR. BAKER: Understood, understood.
18	MS. MITCHELL: Okay.
19	MR. BAKER: We certainly have provided
20	correspondence to every impacted property owner as
21	to what their individualwhat their estimated
22	individual impact would be at this point, based on
23	their current assessment, current tax rate.
24	MS. MITCHELL: We just want to make sure
25	we have all of that before us as well, okay.

1	MR. BAKER: If you don't, we'll make
2	sure you do get a copy, yes.
3	MS. MITCHELL: Fantastic, thanks. Any
4	other comments or submissions?
5	MR. MURPHY: It's Leeman Murphy, 24
6	Andrews Drive, Kensington, and I just wanted to
7	reiterate what someone said earlier, if Kensington
8	enjoys the benefits and the business opportunities
9	that are there, it's because of the rural areas, not
10	in spite of, and that has to be understood very
11	clearly.
12	Kensington at 1,600 people couldn't
13	survive with Kensington, and it's not very far to go
14	to Summerside and ignore Kensington if you have to.
15	Anyway, I don't want to do that, butand the other
16	thing is I guess we talk to the commissioners here,
17	there was 106 properties included, and there was a
18	letter sent out on the 14th or 15th of December to
19	be returned by the 31st of.
20	At that point, it was a very timely
21	questionnaire. The situation was that there were 16
22	people responded to that and they said yes. There
23	were 31 people who said no, and so myself and two or
24	three others, following that, prepared a petition
25	and we have identified the 31 plus coming to 57

people who said no, and the...when you look at the number of eligible, which is...you know, there is no survey or no election that is...they actually have the number of eligible voters, but the decision is made on those that vote.

So for the yeses, it's 16 out of 73, and that is a 22 percent approval rating, and for the nos, it's 57 over 73, and that's 78 percent who oppose.

Does the commission have...take this kind of information into account as to whether the proposed...the proposal as presented, it doesn't reduce that possibility that there can be an altered proposal which would include more in the industrial park kind of thing and leave the rest of us alone, because that's a pretty strong mandate that says no, 78 percent of those that voted.

MS. MITCHELL: So with respect to your question of whether we take that into account, we absolutely do. We take into account all of the materials that have been provided by the Town in the form of the proposal itself, the presentation, the information that they are providing here this evening, the submissions that we have received during the course of this process, including all of

1	the objections. We have a copy of the petition. We
2	have all of those materials before us, and that all
3	gets put into what we take into account before we
4	make our recommendations to the minister.
5	So I will assure you that that does form
6	part of what we consider in crafting our
7	recommendations.
8	MR. MURPHY: But I guess, you know, I
9	guess here in the presentation here today, and in
10	the document, the whole world revolves around poor
11	Kensington. The whole world doesn't revolve around
12	poor Kensington. Kensington is enjoying the other
13	part of the world, and weyou know, we all
14	participate inyou know, Kensington doesn't have a
15	whole lot of say in the credit unionMalpeque
16	Credit Union, I wouldn't expect.
17	They don't own it. They may be members,
18	but they don't own it, and they live in the Town.
19	The streets that I drive to get to the co-opor
20	not the co-op, but the co-op as well, but to the
21	credit union, is owned by the Province. Anyway,
22	thank you.
23	MS. MITCHELL: Okay, thank you. Anyone
24	else like to speak?
25	MR. MURPHY: Reg Murphy, 8 Andrews

1	Drive.
2	MS. MITCHELL: Hi there. Go ahead.
3	MR. MURPHY: My wife, Nancy, and I live
4	at 8 Andrews Drive, District of Kensington. My
5	family has lived in and around the Town of
6	Kensington for generations.
7	We have always loved the Town, and over
8	the years have worked, played and volunteered with
9	numerous individuals and organizations within its
10	boundaries.
11	The proposal from the Town of Kensington
12	to expand its borders states that the main objective
13	is to create opportunities for growth and
14	development, and not just to increase its tax base.
15	To be able to add acreage for future subdivisions or
16	a business park, in my opinion, is commendable and
17	will no doubt lead you to reach that goal.
18	I don't know where there is room for new
19	development on Andrews Drive. I don't see how
20	moving the Town border approximately 100 metres to
21	include us in the Town will help to achieve your
22	main objective.
23	As well, my needs are adequately met in
24	our present situation. I have my own well, sewer
25	system. I'm kind of off the road like this other

1	gentleman who spoke. So it's going to take a bundle
2	to get that water into my house, if it ever gets on
3	the street.
4	I purchase fire protection through the
5	Kensington Area Fire District, which is an entity

outside the Town of Kensington.

Provincial police protection is provided through the RCMP. Streets are maintained by the Province and I have a political voice through our elected MLA.

I feel I have a symbiotic relationship with the Town. I do commerce with its businesses. I participate in events and groups that are an integral part of the Kensington area culture, and they are often headquartered in the Town itself.

We help each other. That doesn't mean I want to join the municipality. Many of us have signed a petition expressing our wishes to remain separate. To have a choice whether to join the Town or not is one thing, but to be forced to become a resident is another.

For the Town, the inclusion of Andrews

Drive will conveniently and immediately add to its

tax base, but for me, my only change will be higher

taxes. Thank you.

1	MS. MITCHELL: Thank you. Would anyone
2	else like to speak? Okay. If I wait long enough,
3	hopefully people will come.
4	MR. MILLIGAN: Nick Milligan. I live at
5	215 Calvin Road. I would be pretty well the last
6	one affected heading out that way.
7	I have a lot of the same comments and
8	concerns about increased taxes as everybody else.
9	So I'm not going to run down the line with those
10	again.
11	I am wondering, one of the major proposals
12	seems to be for land planning, and other than
13	protecting what is inside a well field or maybe
14	keeping heavy industrial out of the area, what other
15	concerns does the Town have with that. What on my
16	property requires that level of oversight and
17	another level of government over the top? Anybody
18	can answer it. It's okay.
19	MS. PARNHAM: Well, as the planner, I'll
20	try to answer that. Land use planning is a service
21	that, as I mentioned earlier, nobody notices until
22	it's not done well, right.
23	So if the propertiesit's not
24	necessarily your property that the land use planning
25	is regulating, but adjacent properties. Property

1	owners have the right to develop their land and so
2	if your neighbour, for example, wants to
3	developyes.
4	Property ownership changes, right. If
5	your neighbours want to subdivide land and create
6	multiple lotsI don't know how large your lots
7	are, whether they would beland use planning
8	protects people from what happens on adjacent
9	properties, as well as protects them from
10	themselves.
11	In many ways, in terms of doing things
12	without properyou know, we currently are adopting
13	building code regulations across the Province right
14	now, but many municipalities have been enforcing
15	building code for quite a few years.
16	Land use planning addresses environmental
17	concerns, but also storm water management. We heard
18	about water issues earlier. I can assure you that
19	the engineered road designs of today, through land
20	use planning, meet a higher standard than they used
21	to.
22	Land use planning addresses the need for
23	green space for public parks in subdivisions. I
24	could go on and on.

Currently I work in the field of climate

25

change, and you know, land use planning should address flood risk, erosion control of coastal properties. That's not a concern here in the Town of Kensington, but land use planning addresses such a wide variety of issues.

It's not just development control. It's not just setbacks, but it is about creating a community that is for the greater good.

Nobody likes regulations for themselves, but they certainly want their neighbours to be regulated because they will be looking to complain to somebody if their neighbours decide...and your neighbour might be great right now. Problems only happen usually when property owners change hands.

most Islanders go most of their lives without paying much attention to, until they become a developer or until their neighbour does something that is disruptive, and I get that, but from our perspective, from the Town's perspective, it's about bringing everybody collectively together so that you have an opportunity to contribute in the development of the official plan which will outline policies and objectives and plan actions for the Town for the next five years.

Those policies and objectives will inform
the bylaw regulations. So if you want to continue
to live in a large lot residential area where your
neighbours can't subdivide and create a village of
tiny homes, that will be your opportunity to have
your say then.

MR. MILLIGAN: Okay. I am blessed with the fact that I was able to take some of those steps whilst choosing my property. My parents recently, I guess prior to me buying our house, they had bought a house and had somebody come and build within 15 feet of the property line, and within regulations. It wasn't ideal. They have to put up with it.

I controlled that by buying somewhere where I have 300 feet to a property line, and not everybody is in the same situation as me, and I appreciate that side of it. I also made the choice at that point in time to select a property that was unincorporated. It was a selling feature of the house to me. It's something that I looked at. I come from Summerside to here, previously lived up west, previously in Fredericton as well.

All of these things were taken into account when I was making my decision on where to live. All of that gets flipped in a switch in a

1	three-week process in December.
2	It seemed like a short time. It also
3	seems like this is our first opportunity to voice
4	much in the way of opinion. It doesn't look the
5	same whenever you're on paper than whenever you have
6	to look me in the eye while I talk.
7	I don't get the warm and fuzzies from this
8	whole process, that the back and forth and the
9	consultation, whenever we talk about bylaws in the
10	future, is going to go much different than this is.
11	There is not anybody that I can see in this room
12	that is in favour of this, and it's up to you, who
13	is ultimately not in the Town of Kensington.
14	So I think everything could have been done
15	a little bit better. We could have had a few more
16	questions answered a little bit earlier on. Maybe
17	it would have helped. It's still a hard sell.
18	There is nobody that wants to pay any more tax.
19	It's difficult to swallow the additional
20	tax whenever the other features that are being
21	proposed don't appeal to me personally. So that's
22	my two cents, but it's kind of where I think in the
23	whole deal.
24	MS. MITCHELL: Thank you.

MR. MURPHY: Again, it's Leeman Murphy,

25

1	24 Andrews Drive. I just wanted to say that the
2	Province has permitting processes in place, and they
3	have boundaries and regulations that they are
4	forcednot forced, but are administered, and the
5	Town of Kensington, in all their wonderful things
6	that are going to happen, aren't the only people
7	that have some capacity to say yea or nay. Thank
8	you.
9	MS. MITCHELL: Thank you.
10	MR. McGILL: This is a point that came
11	up just based on the comments there about rezoning.
12	Should this incorporation go through, all these new
13	properties, the applications for rezoning from
14	agricultural to residential would now go through the
15	Town. Am I right in thinking that?
16	MS. PARNHAM: Currently, your property
17	has no zoning. It may have a land use if it's
18	currently farm, but it has no zone, and that's why
19	meant by the Province not having land use zoning.
20	So there is no designated area in the
21	unincorporated areas for commercial, industrial,
22	anywhat is assumed is that it's agricultural or
23	that it's single detached residential, and any other
24	types of uses have to go through a change of use
25	application with the Province.

1	So no other uses are as of right, but no
2	other uses are rejected as of right either.
3	Whenif this was to go through, you would remain
4	under provincial jurisdiction until the official
5	plan and the bylaw were adopted, in which case then
6	you would have a zone and new regulations and new
7	policies.
8	MR. McGILL: Okay. I'm more concerned,
9	actually, about the plan of incorporation around my
10	property being rezoned to residential. It is
11	currently agricultural, and I believe there was a
12	zone in the Town which was switched from
13	agricultural to residential without a whole lot of
14	oversight, and it was a bit of a surprise to people
15	to learn that that had been approved.
16	My concern would be that happening around
17	my property some time in the future.
18	MS. MITCHELL: So I think we're talking
19	about a potential subsequent process that
20	wouldright, and so it would be what the process
21	that the Town would use in terms of amending its
22	official plan and then whatever the consultation
23	process would be in terms of designating the
24	newthe proposed new annexed properties in that
25	official plan, correct? Is thatyes.

1	So it'swe're almost into a hypothetical
2	on a hypothetical, right, like, and sobut I take
3	your point aboutand I think that it would be, you
4	know, beneficial for the Town to hear that as well
5	in terms of what the expectations would be in terms
6	of what further processes would occur in the event
7	that this annexation is approved, fair? Okay.
8	Anyone else? Okay, I think, I think that
9	we are closing in on the end of our evening. I am
10	going to just give the folks from the TownMr.
11	Clow, I neglected to ask if you had any questions.
12	I have been doing all the talking. Okay, my
13	apologies.
14	So if there is anything further that the
15	representatives from the Town would wish to state
16	before we wrap things up, I would invite you to do
17	so.
18	MR. BAKER: Thank you very much on
19	behalf of the Town. I would like to thank all the
20	people who did come out tonight and share their
21	thoughts and concerns.
22	It's good to hear the feeling of the
23	people who potentially may become residents of the

Town of Kensington. We do give this a lot of

thought. We know there is a concern and we know

24

25

1	that nobodyit has been mentioned a good many
2	times that nobody likes to pay increased taxes,
3	including myself.
1	I guess we will see how the heard reco

I guess we will see how the board received the information that has been presented here tonight. They'll evaluate and we'll move forward whichever direction is required, and on behalf of the Town and council and staff, I would certainly like to thank you all for your feedback, for your comments and we'll still be friendly neighbours even when this is all said and done. Thank you.

MS. MITCHELL: Okay, thank you. So just before we wrap up, there are a few things that I would...that I do want to clarify just in terms of where we go from here.

So I mentioned at the outset that the proceedings this evening have been recorded. They will be put on our website if you wish to go and review things afterwards, and all of you, and any member of the public who may have been viewing tonight or will view the proceedings has until October 22nd at four o'clock if there is anything further in writing that you wish to share with the commission following tonight's proceedings.

Again, you can e-mail those submissions to

my colleague, Jonah Clements. The address is proposal@irac.pe.ca.

I would say, as well, I noted that several speakers this evening had written submissions with them. If you want to even just drop those with us, we can take them now and save the extra hassle but I'll leave it to you. You can find Jonah and give that to him if that suits you.

I stated as well that we are bound to follow the provisions of the Municipal Government Act. So in terms of the process that we go through from here, is we, under the legislation, are required to provide a report to the minister within 45 days of today's hearing.

We will be taking into account all of the submissions made here orally, anything that we receive in writing and obviously the presentation and the proposal that has been put in by the Town.

The minister then accepts that report, and under the Act, the minister then makes a recommendation to cabinet. So though we are the ones that are here before you today, taking the information and making a recommendation to the minister about this proposal, ultimately the minister then takes our recommendation, and the

1	minister can put forward our recommendation, alter
2	our recommendation or reject our recommendation, but
3	ultimately the end of the line decision rests with
4	the provincial cabinet.
5	I just wanted to make that clear in terms
6	of what our role is in the process here this
7	evening.
8	I want to thank you all for coming. I
9	know that it was a beautiful day today and a
10	beautiful evening, and I think it's nice to
11	seerecognizing the motivation for coming here
12	tonight. You know, you expressed that it's not
13	necessarily a nice thing. I think that it's good to
14	see the interest and the commitment to your
15	community and to issues of public importance in the
16	Province. So I want to thank you for coming.
17	As I indicated, if there is anything
18	further than anyone wishes to share with us, we are
19	open to receive written submissions until, like I
20	said, October 22nd at four p.m.
21	So unless there is anything that I have
22	missedyes? Would you just come to theagain,
23	sorry, it's just because we are recording and want
24	to catch it all.
25	MR. MURPHY: You said that you had 45

1	days to send it to the minister. I don't mean to
2	nail you down, but will it happen in five days, 30
3	days, 35, or will it be closer to the 45 day?
4	MS. MITCHELL: It's hard to say. Like,
5	if we get 100 submissions in the next week, then
6	that may alter our timeline. I mean, we want to get
7	thisyou know, we wantwe have the sort of hard
8	deadline in the legislation that we will be
9	obviously mindful of and we have to respect that,
10	but my philosophy is always try to get it out the
11	door as quickly as we can.
12	MR. MURPHY: Will it be published in
13	the Journal or the Guardian, pardon me?
14	MS. MITCHELL: Our report
15	MR. MURPHY: No, I mean, like your
16	report has been sent to the minister, you know what
17	I'm saying, just to give people
18	MS. MITCHELL: No, we don't.
19	MR. CLEMENTS: The fact that it is sent
20	to the minister is not published.
21	MR. MURPHY: That's not published, so
22	MS. MITCHELL: Right.
23	MR. MURPHY: So we won't really know.
24	It could be a long time before
25	MS. MITCHELL: Jonah?

1	MR. MURPHY: I'm just trying to get a
2	timeline of when we would actually know that
3	something was
4	MR. CLEMENTS: Yes. So a copy of our
5	report is sent to the minister within that timeline.
6	It is also sent to the Town as well, at that time,
7	once it's sent to the minister. What they choose to
8	do with the report is certainly up to them, and I'll
9	not bind them to it, but they get a copy as well.
10	So and eventually within a very short
11	period after that, we do tend to put them on our
12	website as well so the public can see.
13	So it goes to the minister first. The
14	Town gets a copy as well under the Act. They're
15	entitled to a copy, and then we do tend to publish
16	them on our website afterwards.
17	So it will be made available outside of
18	the minister within a short period of time.
19	MR. MURPHY: Okay, thank you.
20	MS. MITCHELL: Okay. I think that
21	brings today's proceedings to a close. So again, I
22	want to thank you all for coming and safe travels
23	home. Thanks all.

```
- 78
1
 2
 3
 4
                      I hereby certify the foregoing to be a true and
5
accurate transcription of
                the above noted proceedings transcribed by me on the
to the best of my skill,
                ability and understanding.
8
9
10
                                                       }
                                                             Certified
Correct:
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
                                                             Chris Orr
20
                                                             Production
Manager
21
                                                       }
22
```